## Case 4:16-cv-07387-JSW Document 103 Filed 03/20/20 Page 2 of 3

On March 2, 2020, the Court issued an order denying plaintiffs and counter-defendants PARKRIDGE LIMITED and MABEL MAK ("Plaintiffs") Motion to Vacate, Modify or Correct the Final Award and granting defendants and counter-claimants INDYZEN, INC. and PRAVEEN NARRA KUMAR ("Defendants") Motion to Confirm the Final Award and Lift the Stay in this matter. The Court ordered the parties to propose a schedule by no later than March 20, 2020 for resolution of the remaining matters before the Court.

Pursuant to this Court's Order, Defendants, by and through their counsel of record, respectfully submit this Proposed Case Schedule:

Event	Defendants' Proposed Dates
Last day for parties to file their respective claims and/or counterclaims that were not subject to Arbitration	April 15, 2020
Deadline to exchange initial disclosures	April 30, 2020
Close of limited fact discovery, limited to pending claims and not as to claims resolved by the arbitrator	June 30, 2020
Last day to file dispositive motions (one per side in the entire case)	July 31, 2020
Hearing on Dispositive Motions	August 15, 2020
Mandatory Settlement Conference	September 30, 2020
Final Pretrial Conference	October 16, 2020
Bench Trial	November 2, 2020
Length of Trial	3-5 Days

23	///
24	///
25	///
26	///
27	///
90	///

## Case 4:16-cv-07387-JSW Document 103 Filed 03/20/20 Page 3 of 3

1	Defendants' position is that the A	Arbitratio	on disposed of most if not all the issues between
2	the parties. From Defendants' perspective	ve, the o	nly issues left to be decided are payments due
3	to Indyzen and/or Narra under the Morfit	t Agreen	ment. Defendants believe that most if not all the
4	claims Plaintiffs will assert are subject to	issue p	reclusion and therefore can be decided by
5	dispositive motion without the need for d	discover	y. Moreover, since the Arbitrator already found
6	that the Morfit Agreement was a valid co	ontract, I	Plaintiffs breached the contract, and that
7	Defendants fully performed or were otherwise excused from performance, Defendants believe		
8	that any claims for payment due to Indyzen and/or Narra thereunder can be resolved by		
9	dispositive motion.		
10	The parties engaged in extensive	fact and	expert discovery in the Arbitration proceeding,
11	which is why Defendants propose limited discovery limited to pending claims and not as to		
12	claims resolved by the arbitrator.		
13	The Morfit Agreement contains a jury waiver provision, so any trial in this matter would		
14	be a bench trial.		
15	DATED, Morel 20, 2020	Dag	mootfully Submitted
16	DATED: March 20, 2020		pectfully Submitted,
17		BU.	LLIVANT HOUSER BAILEY PC
18		Dv	/a/Vaith Cillatta
19		Ву	/s/ Keith Gillette Keith Gillette
20			Matthew A. Trejo
21			
			Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-Plaintiff INDYZEN, INC. and Defendant PRAVEEN
22			
22 23			INDYZEN, INC. and Defendant PRAVEEN
			INDYZEN, INC. and Defendant PRAVEEN
23			INDYZEN, INC. and Defendant PRAVEEN
23 24			INDYZEN, INC. and Defendant PRAVEEN
23 24 25 26			INDYZEN, INC. and Defendant PRAVEEN
23 24 25			INDYZEN, INC. and Defendant PRAVEEN